IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.3274 OF 2004
IN
APPEAL NO.67 OF 2004
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2398 OF 2003

Sanskardham Kelvani Mandal
and others ... Applicants

versus

State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

Mr.M.P.Vashi for applicants.

Mrs.Madhubala Kajle for respondents 1 and 2.

Ms.Susmita Burman i/by Mihir Desai for respondent no.3.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN AND
S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, JJ.

DATE : 9th September 2005

PC :

1. This Notice of Motion has been taken out by the applicants seeking a relief that as the applicant no.3 has been continued in the employment of applicant nos.1 and 2 as a Junior Clerk, the applicant nos.1 and 2 should be permitted to submit the pay bills of applicant no.3 to respondents 1 and 2 so as to enable them to process the same. It is made clear that as

far as respondent no.3 is concerned, there is no dispute that respondent no.3 is continuing as Senior Clerk and the salary has been duly paid. Under these circumstances, the Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (b).

2. At the final hearing of the above appeal it will be decided if applicant no.3 had received any excess salary.

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)